

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT F COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Log for the commission of the patents of the commission of the commission

	APPLICATION:NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	ontones con o est, no est o caunta o kapas es a ta <mark>nn sobre</mark> co e est o			on the second of
و ويو	and this age of the set of stands of	at the factor of the control	Catholife in Authority of Open Human (ACR). His recommunication in the Authority of Hospital Linear Artista Degli in york of the profiter	the conservation of the desired of the servation of the s
	ر در کار در این در	the street of the second street when the second	uther and heater his health to be the company to be a second to the company to th	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
,	Constitution of the second sec	ter en tre de la companya de la comp	rentere e and surveye were that experiences and survey. The	to the control of the
islani.	on the state of th	Sept 100 of Education as the motion of	to community with the contract of the contract of	of to this this continue to the subject of the
2 2 1000	kumpye series yake i tiri useries i rimakus i timin sake use		RVIEW SUMMARY	TEMAILED: ค.ศ. ค.ศ. วาง ค.ศ. กับค.ศ. ค.ศ. หายี่สำนายอย่า
EAST 115	autodia io relfam i, osa im Štruti j	AND THE WASHINGTON OF LINES	เลิงที่ สมบัง สพาธิการที่ จะบางสาวายกระ	१६६ अनुसारक करेती इंतरावाची कृतिकार विकास अकार्या महारही
earuir earuir	anicibaura (abblicaut abblicau	it § representative, PTO perso	nner): (operation of a first species) in	arlaesti ya sremental asti milian basi seki babaki Peki piketa dipitu 4. nesengi 2. nesengi 2. Leemadhij Princh (2006–2016) 2. nesengahiji Princh (2006–2016) Pekisen
(1) :dic::di	no cil "etner (Pari) no bestrio e-	Dake to a basemon has a district per	(a)	And the season of the season o
(2) <u> </u>	osta hawaning a see a see of the surveys of	Company of the Compan	the property of the state of th	on, de deservações deservados estas
				ie me vrzpiczał jec siterzni i i i panije. Iter o sitelecijschilor univer i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i diciala, i ho i i i n sitinial ba i nered prempily e
Туре	: A Telephonic Persona	l (copy is given to applica	ant applicant's representative).	
Exhi	bit shown or demonstration co	nducted: 🗆 Yes 💢 No If y	es, brief description:	multiplicae of la reducida issuella -
				tankenate for an old - wishership for making
Clair	ment was reached. n(s) discussed: otherwise according to the control of the co	1 pending cla	ediaunia, reministrani, 1930 in 1900 M S remadas t	media lesson gas its tronsiterem (), cerasio les talles carina i montrela estado de la comercia de la foresensació de la constitución de la comercia de comercia de la
Iden	incation of phor art discussed.			and the second of the second o
Desc		f what was agreed to if an agr	eement was reached, or any other com 181529767	ments: Application will 08/164290 - 1970
3	12:0	the artist conserver, inversely the	Sign to analyze in all regards to the secondary	Julia Francisco Como Como Como Como Como Como Como Co
		<u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>	Land The Control of the State of the Control	and the second second second second
must	be attached. Also, where no	and a copy of the amendments	s, if available, which the examiner agree th would render the claims allowable is	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be
1.	It is not necessary for applica	ant to provide a separate reco	rd of the substance of the interview.	in the second of
Unle IS No actio SUB	ss the paragraph above has b DT.WAIVED AND MUST INCL n has are ready been filed, AP STANCE OF THE INTERVIEW	een checked to indicate to the UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF T PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MC	contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONDED INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section ONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE 1	PONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
	rejections and requirements	ew summary above (including that may be present in the las ponse requirements of the las	any attachments) reflects a complete ret Office action, and since the claims are toffice action. Applicant is not relieved	esponse to each of the objections, see a now allowable, this completed form

Examiner Not: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form. FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

न्तर्भ रिप्ते । अवस्था केंद्र विद्यार केंद्रक्षेत्रके । Authorities Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 213.04 Substance of Int. rview must Be Mad

14.4.图7的数

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

(b) in every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of CHAMICIE WEIVERTH

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812:01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures Marian I report

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

Ethioli shown or demonstration connected. These Aliko in year anel description.

Agramment A was reached Lives not reached

1.00

The Form provides for recordation of the following information? I state that the specific of t

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)

expression to matrice the engine

- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include; all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature. or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.

 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid Examiner to Check for Accuracy abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c).).

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.